

Failure of Thompson Factorization and (some of) its descendants

Failure of Thompson Factorization and (some of) its descendants

Stephen D. Smith
U. Illinois–Chicago

Thompson 80 Conference
Cambridge, (postponed from) September 2012

Failure of Thompson Factorization and (some of) its descendants

Stephen D. Smith
U. Illinois–Chicago

Thompson 80 Conference
Cambridge, (postponed from) September 2012

Overview of the talk:

INTRODUCTION: The Frattini factorization.

- 1: The Thompson Factorization via the J -subgroup.
- 2: Determining when the factorization can fail (FF).
- 3: Pushing-up techniques (FF-modules in blocks).
- 4: Further factorizations: weak closure methods.
- 5: Oliver's conjecture on the J -subgroup (odd p).

Failure of Thompson Factorization and (some of) its descendants

Stephen D. Smith
U. Illinois–Chicago

Thompson 80 Conference
Cambridge, (postponed from) September 2012

Overview of the talk:

INTRODUCTION: The Frattini factorization.

- 1: The Thompson Factorization via the J -subgroup.
- 2: Determining when the factorization can fail (FF).
- 3: Pushing-up techniques (FF-modules in blocks).
- 4: Further factorizations: weak closure methods.
- 5: Oliver's conjecture on the J -subgroup (odd p).

These slides can be found at:

www.math.uic.edu/~smiths/talk.pdf

A note on references

This talk largely follows some exposition given by Aschbacher–Lyons–Smith–Solomon in [?]:

The Classification of Finite Simple Groups
(Surveys of the AMS, Vol. 172); especially from Sections B.6–B.8 there.

It also draws from expository material given in Aschbacher–Smith [?][?]:

The Classification of Quasithin Groups
(Surveys of the AMS, Vols. 111 and 112); especially from Chapters B, C, and E in [?].

Introduction: The Frattini Factorization

(An elementary situation yielding a factorization:)

If $G \geq T$ transitive on a G -orbit (say of α), then:

$$G = T \cdot G_\alpha$$

(Special case: The Frattini Argument (ca. 1885?):)

If $N \trianglelefteq G$ with $P \in \text{Syl}_p(N)$, then:

$$G = N \cdot N_G(P)$$

(Subcase:) If V elem.ab. p -group $\trianglelefteq G$, then:

$$G = C_G(V) \cdot N_G(P)$$

Indeed for W weakly closed in P , $N_G(P) \leq N_G(W)$;

...and for $Z \leq V$, $C_G(V) \leq C_G(Z)$; so:

$$G = C_G(Z) \cdot N_G(W) \tag{FA}$$

This form of Frattini arises in analysis of p -locals.

For example, often we will have $F^*(G) = O_p(G)$;

and then can take $V := \langle Z^G \rangle$ (get “ p -reduced”),

where $Z := \Omega_1(Z(T))$, for $T \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$. 

§1: Thompson Factorization via $J(T)$

Thompson (1964) introduced:

$$J(T) := \langle A \text{ maxl-rank elem } \leq T \rangle.$$

Notice $J(T)$ is weakly closed in T

(and indeed in any R with $J(T) \leq R \leq T$).

So Frattini (FA) gives Thompson Factorization:

$$\text{If } J(T) \leq C_G(V), \quad G = C_G(V) \cdot N_G(J(T)).$$

When MUST this good “If”-situation hold? E.g.:

Thompson (1966): for p -solvable G —unless

$p = 2$ or 3 , with $SL_2(p)$ involved in G .

More generally, for the situation $F^*(G) = O_p(G)$,
where as mentioned earlier we can take $V := \langle Z^G \rangle$,
the desired factorization takes the form:

$$G = C_G(\Omega_1(Z(T))) \cdot N_G(J(T)) \quad (\text{TF})$$

Note: Thompson triple-factorization methods (~ 1972)

show roughly that “enough” local factorizations

lead to a strongly p -embedded subgroup. 

§2: Failure of Thompson Factorization

If (TF) fails, some maxl-rank elem $A \not\leq C_G(V)$.

Since $|A| \geq |VC_A(V)|$, and $A \cap V \leq C_V(A)$, get:

$$\frac{|A|}{|C_A(V)|} \geq \frac{|V|}{|C_V(A)|}$$

I.e., $\bar{A} := A/C_A(V)$ is an “FF-offender”.

There are various familiar cases of such \bar{A} , e.g.:

(a) (transvection) In V of dimension n ,

\bar{A} of rank 1 centralizing an $(n - 1)$ -subspace;

(b) any maximal unipotent radical of $GL(V)$:

$$\bar{U}_k := \left(\begin{array}{c|c} I_k & 0 \\ \hline * & I_{n-k} \end{array} \right)$$

The action of \bar{U}_k is even *quadratic*. Indeed:

The Thompson Replacement Theorem (1969) shows that *any* FF-offender contains a quadratic offender.

(c) To see FF exhibited in a *local* subgroup $\square G$: 

p -solvable FF? Glauberman (1973) showed the Thompson exceptions above are the only ones: Then $p = 2$ or 3 , with G a product of terms $V_i L_i$, with V_i the natural module for $L_i \cong SL_2(p)$.

More general FF? Say $F^*(G) = O_p(G)$: Reduce to components \bar{L} of $\bar{G} := G/C_G(V)$; i.e., take \bar{G} to be quasisimple \bar{L} .

Cooperstein-Mason (1978) gave the pairs (V, \bar{L}) , but without proofs. Guralnick-Malle (2002) gave a more general treatment; in particular; $\bar{L}/Z(\bar{L})$ is either of Lie type in char p , or alternating with $p \leq 3$; and V is “small”.

The list of FF-groups and modules is applied often in the Classification of Finite Simple Groups (CFSG).

To follow one important direction:

§3: Pushing-up (FF-modules in blocks)

Take $R \leq T$ with $R = O_p(N_G(R))$. (Ex: $R = T$)

For any C char R , of course $N_G(R) \leq N_G(C)$.

Best, if we “push up” to $N_G(C)$ which is LARGER.

Failure? Set $C(G, R) := \langle N_G(C) : 1 < C \text{ char } R \rangle$,

$$C(G, R) \leq M < G, \quad (\text{CPU})$$

where we also assume R is Sylow in $\langle R^M \rangle$.

The Sylow₂ case: Take $p = 2, R = T$.

We might expect G narrow (e.g. small Lie rank?)

Also FF, if G local? E.g. [?, C.1.26];

roughly: if (TF) succeeds, then factors in $C(G, T)$.

Indeed Aschbacher's Local $C(G, T)$ -Theorem (1981):

If $F^*(G) = O_2(G)$ and $C(G, T) < G$,

then $G = C(G, T)L_1 \cdots L_t$ for χ -blocks L_i .

Such a block has $L_2(2^m)$ or A_m (m odd), on V

with a UNIQUE nontrivial section (natural ... FF).

This led to Global $C(G, T)$ -Theorem (\sim 1982):

If G simple of char. 2 type with $C(G, T) \leq C$

And (CPU) with $R < T$? Get larger blocks...

Ex 1: Meierfrankenfeld-Stellmacher (1993):

R is rank-1 unipotent radical of rank-2 group...

Ex 2: The non-QT F_{23} “shadow” in QT [?]:

This has QT local $L = 2^{11} \cdot M_{23}$; START to elim...

Note there is $x \in 2^{11}$ with $C_L(x) = 2^{11} \cdot M_{22}$;

Indeed $C_{F_{23}}(x) \cong \langle x \rangle F_{22}$ (not QT).

The QT hyp's of [?] allow $L \trianglelefteq M$ maxl,

with $O_2(L) \geq V \cong 2^{11}$, and $\bar{L} \cong M_{23}$.

Further $R := O_2(LT)$ has $C(G, R) \leq M < G$.

Using M_{22} , this is inherited by $C_M(x) < C_G(x)$.

But under QT, no (CPU)-obstruction (like F_{22}).

We CONCLUDE $C_G(x) \leq M$ (at [?, 8.1.1]).

So NOT like in F_{23} (but L ruled out—yet).

§4: Weak-closure factorizations

EXPECT G -conj's of V in T in some max-rk A ;
so that weak closure of V in T falls into $J(T)$.

Aschbacher (1981) variant of (TF) is based on w.cl.:

$$W_i := \langle A : A \leq T \cap V^g, m(V^g/A) = i \rangle;$$

$$C_i := C_T(W_i).$$

Values of "parameters" can give versions of (FA);
to ROUGHLY state 6.11.2 (cf. [?, B.8.5]):

Set $k := n(G)$ (involves groups over $\leq \mathbb{F}_{2^k}$);

assume $W_i > 1$ for i with $0 \leq i \leq s - a$. Then:

$$G = C_G(C_{i+k})N_G(W_i). \quad (\text{WC})$$

Uniqueness Case: Aschbacher (1983), to elim
almost strongly p -embedded maxl 2-local $M \geq T$,
from Thompson strategy get H with $T \leq H \not\leq M$.

With H, U as " G, V ", (WC) gives $H = H_1H_2$;
use uniqueness props of M , e.g. methods like (CPU),
to TRY to force $H \leq M$, contradict $H \not\leq M$.

References I